# The role of TEN in the development of the Hungarian road system

•TEN idea( Delors) in 1993

•Ambition of Europe, uncertainty in Central and Eastern Europe

•Will CEE be included in the new type of construction?

## Paralelity of Helsinki and EU plans and networks

- Delors: 14 corridors + Cohesion Fund
- Helsinki:10 corridors –plans with EU funds for extra EU countries
- Legal basis in December 2013:double decision

#### Where are we in 2017?

- Lesson in CEE:no concession, instead witing for EU Funds in TEN corridors ( M5 and M6)
- Lesson in CEE:Road development is much needed by the progress in transport service sector, then in WE
- CEF + ITOP: too strongly railway oriented(
  90% and 70% with inland navigation)

## TEN Road policy in Hungary

- Government strategic decision on speedway developmment in 2014:Transfrontier connections with neighbours and inclusion in the transport network of the county HQ and big industrial settlements by speedway
- Stronger Budapest-oriented development and only later county-to-county HQ speedway connections

## Challenges

- Important budget funded developments -2500 bn forint in 3-4 years, price is paid by road maintenance in the countryside?
- Market funded development in TEN core railway line (Budapest-Belgrade), not by concession, but by credit, 2030 is too early in EU calendar
- Short and medium term market needs against the strategic political decisions (M1 and M7, M2 Vác-Slovakia section, MO in Buda region)
- Can we rely on CEF in the long term?

#### Positive results

- TEN road network connection with important (not only TEN) ports and airports in Komárom, Gönyü-Pér, Hévíz, Debrecen and Szeged
- We can count with the CEF in the support of technological development (navigation, petrol stations etc.)
- International corridors are already established or under establishment to Arad, Nagyvárad(Oradea)-Kassa(Kosice), Graz and Eszék (Osijek)
- Missing medium term vision: Danube bridges and M4-M8 highways; completion is started but when will it be finished?